



NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Information Science
and Technology Colloquium

May 2, 2007

Samuel Broda, Legal Advisor
Office of Patent Legal Administration
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Samuel.Broda@uspto.gov



Office of Patent Legal Administration

Legal and policy guidance

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/ogsheet.html>

United States Patent and Trademark Office PATENTS				
Home Site Index Search FAQ Glossary Guides Contacts eBusiness eBiz alerts News Help				
Patents > Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy > Office of Patent Legal Administration > Recent Patent-Related Notices				
TITLE*	OG CITE	OG DATE	FR CITE	FR DATE
* active hyperlinks in this column retrieve USPTO documents posted prior to publication in the OG or Fed. Reg. <input type="button" value="Capture Now"/>				
United States Postal Service Interruption and Emergency under 35 U.S.C. 21(a) [PDF]				
Provisions for Claiming the Benefit of a Provisional Application With a Non-English Specification and Other Miscellaneous Matters(26Sept2005)			70 Fed. Reg. 56119 [PDF]	26SEPT2005
Updated Lists of Exceptions to the Centralized Delivery and Facsimile Transmission Policy for Patent Related Correspondence (signed 19Sept2005) [PDF]				
Search and Examination of International Applications Filed Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (signed 19Sept2005) [PDF]				
Office Actions and Notice of Allowances Previously Mailed to Areas of Alabama, Louisiana,				



Topics

- Peer Reviewed Prior Art Pilot
- Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

USPTO Perspective

- Public Criticism of Patents, Software
- Current Rules Permit Rule 1.99 Submission
- Proof of Concept Pilot



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

USPTO Goals

- Get the best art before the examiner
- Improve patent quality
- Address negative public perception
- Foster public involvement using Internet collaboration techniques



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Pilot Short History

- Coordination with Community Patent Review Project (CPRP), Dec. 2005
- Public meetings in Feb and May 2006



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Volunteer Applicants

- File waiver form with USPTO
- USPTO will notify applicants and Community Patent Review Project of acceptance
- USPTO will assist applicants with identifying possible applications for pilot



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Pilot Overview

- For up to 250 applications in TC 2100
- USPTO to waive portions of 37 CFR 1.99 and 1.291 for volunteer pilot participants
- Participants consent to allow comments with submission
- USPTO to accept one submission



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Related Requirements

(to be described in detail later)

- Existing § 1.99 permits third-party submissions that satisfy rule requirements including fees, service, timing and format requirements.
- Existing § 1.291 permits public protests that satisfy rule requirements including service, timing, written consent and format requirements.



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Submission

- CPRP to submit to the USPTO up to 10 peer selected pieces of prior art with commentary within 4 months of pre-grant publication of volunteered U.S. patent application assigned to Technology Center 2100.



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

For every application submitted and accepted for the Pilot, the USPTO will:

- Accept one Rule 99 submission from CPRP
- Advance the application out-of-turn for initial examination on the merits so the results of the pilot can be reviewed in a short time frame
- Consider the prior art submitted by CPRP in the determination of patentability



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

Data to be collected by USPTO:

- Did the prior art submitted by CPRP materially effect the patentability determination for any claim?
- Was the prior art submitted available to the examiner during normal examination?
- Did the commentary have any effect on the examination process?
- What was the general perception of the examiners with the pilot and process?
- Etc.



Peer Reviewed Prior Art

End of Pilot

- Evaluation of the Results
- Expectations
- Next steps?



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

- Rule 1.99 Submissions
- Protests Under Rule 1.291
- Rule 1.501 Submissions
- Reexamination



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Rule 1.99 Submissions

- A third party may submit up to ten patents and/or publications to the USPTO for consideration in examination of a patent application
 - The application must be pending when the submission and application file are brought to the examiner
 - The submission must include:
 - the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (currently \$180)
 - A list of the patents and/or publications
 - A copy of each listed document
 - Any necessary English language translation



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Rule 1.99 Submissions (continued)

- The submission must be served on applicant
- Any explanation of the relevance of the submission, or a defective submission, will be discarded
- The submission must be filed by the earlier of:
 - the date of mailing of the Notice of Allowance; or
 - two months after the date of publication of the application



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Protests Under Rule 1.291

- In general, a protest may be filed by a member of the public if:
 - the protest has been served upon applicant;
 - the protest was either filed prior to the date the application was published or a notice of allowance was mailed, whichever occurs first; or applicant has consented to the protest and it is matched with application in time to permit review during prosecution



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Protests Under Rule 1.291 (continued)

- The protest must include:
 - A listing of the patents, publications, or other information relied upon
 - A concise explanation of the relevance of each item listed
 - A copy of each listed patent, publication, or other item of information in written form, or at least the pertinent portions
 - An English language translation of all the necessary and pertinent parts of any non-English language patent, publication or other item of information relied upon



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Submissions Under Rule 1.501

- Any person may cite (in writing) prior art consisting of patents or printed publications which that person states are pertinent and applicable to the patent and believes to have a bearing on patentability
 - “Any person” includes patent owner; the patent owner may include an explanation of how the claims differ from the prior art (see exceptions during reexamination)
 - Can be submitted anonymously
 - Person submitting can keep identification confidential by omitting identification
 - Should serve on patent owner or file in duplicate



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Reexamination

- *Ex Parte* Reexamination

- Any person may file a request containing certain elements and a fee (\$2520)

- Intent

- To provide procedures for reexamination of patents

- To provide procedures for prompt and timely determinations by the Office in accordance with the “special dispatch” requirement



Mechanisms for Third-Party Submissions (Current) and Correcting Patents

Reexamination (continued)

- *Inter Partes* Reexamination

- Provides a third-party requester the opportunity to participate in the reexamination (fee of \$8800); *Ex parte* reexamination allows very limited participation at the beginning stage only

- Characteristics common to both procedures

- Prior art is limited to patents or printed publications

- A substantial new question of patentability (SNQ) must be present

- No broadening allowed; broadening subject to Reissue



Thank you.

**Samuel Broda, Office of Patent Legal Administration
571/272-7711**